



IJMIRD 2015; 2(3): 304-307  
www.allsubjectjournal.com  
Impact factor: 3.672  
Received: 28-02-2015  
Accepted: 16-03-2015  
E-ISSN: 2349-4182  
P-ISSN: 2349-5979

**Deepika R. Patel**

Research scholar Department  
of Zoology and Biotechnology  
Govt. Science College  
Jabalpur.

**R.N. Patel**

Department of Biological  
Sciences Rani Durgawati  
University University  
Jabalpur M.P.-482001.

**Rita Bhandari**

Head of Department of  
Zoology and Biotechnology  
Govt. Science College Jabalpur  
M.P.

**Uday Homkar**

State forest research institute  
(SFRI) Jabalpur Madhya  
Pradesh- 482001.

**S R Nwange**

Department of Biological  
Sciences Rani Durgawati  
University Jabalpur M.P.-  
482001.

**Correspondence:**

**Deepika R. Patel**

Research scholar Department  
of Zoology and Biotechnology  
Govt. Science College  
Jabalpur.

## Diversity and abundance of insect species at Madhya Pradesh forest

**Deepika R. Patel, R.N. Patel, Rita Bhandari, Uday Homkar, S R Nwange**

### Abstract

A study was conducted on the diversity and abundance of insect species at Madhya Pradesh Community Forest Reserve in order to determine the richness of the forest insect fauna. A total of 774 insects from 13 Orders and 79 Families were recorded. This study shows that Coleoptera (42.63%), Hymenoptera (17.96%), Diptera (10.08%) and Orthoptera (10.85%) were the most dominant Orders in the Forest Reserve. The highest insect diversity was observed in Diptera (Shannon's,  $H' = 2.67$ ), while Dermaptera, Isoptera, Mantodea and Phasmatodea (Shannon's,  $H' = 0.00$ ) were the lowest. However, the highest insect evenness was observed in Blattodea (Evenness,  $E = 0.36$ ). This study also found that the abundance of insects in Jabalpur zone was the highest (Margalef index, = 8.51) compared to other zone sites.

**Keywords:** Insects, forest, diversity, abundance, evenness.

### 1. Introduction

This study is about the insects fauna of the Madhya Pradesh Community Forest Reserve. This area has been chosen because of the less known diversity and abundance of insects in this forest. It was interpreted as a Permanent Forest Reserve in 18 February, 2010 by the India State Government for amenity, education, conservation and research, falling under the authority of the India Forest Department. It is managed in collaboration with local residents and user groups. This forest is a remnant of the former mandla Forest Reserve. It is a rare sample of Lowland Dipterocarp Forest as one of the last Forest Reserves that can still be found in the Jabalpur District. Many groups of insects were recognized today, for example, the Blattodea, Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Isoptera, Lepidoptera, Mantodea, Neuroptera, Odonata, Orthoptera, Phasmatodea, Phthiraptera, Psocoptera, Thysanoptera and Zoraptera. They are unique in their own way and have an important ecological role for survival of life on Earth. Great insect diversity is indeed an intrinsic part of the Earth's ecosystem. They are what make the ecosystems tick remarked (Samways, 1994)<sup>[10]</sup>.

Many insects are valuable to humans, for example by their pollinating activities. Bees are of the most economically important groups of insects as a result of pollination of agricultural crops (Berenbaum et al., 2006)<sup>[2]</sup>. The recent biological control agent was the manipulation of ants throughout citrus orchards in Asia, which have been developed in India, Malaysia and Indonesian cocoa plantations (Choate and Drummond, 2011)<sup>[4]</sup>. Furthermore, some of the insects also provide us with honey, silk and other commercial value products; they serve as food for birds, fish and beneficial animals; they perform valuable services as scavengers, and they have been useful in research. Essays But, some of them are harmful and become pests in agricultural crops and stored products, and some insects transmit diseases to human and other animals (Triplehorn and Johnson, 2005)<sup>[11]</sup>. The objective of this study is to determine the diversity and abundance of the insect fauna at the Jabalpur Community Forest Reserve.

### Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at the Jabalpur Community Forest Reserve from the 25th April until 22nd April, 2014 on a scientific expedition of biodiversity organized by the Forestry Department of Madhya Pradesh State and Indian Natural Society (INS). Samples of insects were collected from four sampling areas that is, Jabalpur zone, Rewa zone, Mandla zone and Dindori' zone (Figure 1). The abiotic data were taken by using luxmeter and hygrometer to measure the light intensity and temperature respectively in each of the zone sites.

Sampling methods in this study were based on Tikader (1962, 1973, and 1982), Triplehorn

and Johnson (2005)<sup>[11]</sup>. Four sampling methods were used that is, collected manually, light trap, malaise trap and pitfall trap. In each zone sites, one malaise trap and 10 pitfall traps were set up from 10.00 am until 7.00 pm, one light trap from 7.00 pm until 11.00 pm and manual collecting were done for 7 h during the day time. Kastom (1978), Tikader and Biswas (1981), Tikder (1982), Davies and Zabka (1989), Plathnick (2004)

All of the collected specimens were preserved in the 70% ethyl alcohol in the multipurpose containers, and were brought back to the laboratory for pinning and identification. Pre-identification was based primarily on the description by Triplehorn and Johnson (2005)<sup>[11]</sup>, Flowers (2004)<sup>[6]</sup> and Choate (1999)<sup>[3]</sup>. However, the detailed identification was based on comparison with specimens in the Zoological Herbarium department of Biological Sciences, University Rani Durgawati Jabalpur (HDBJ#2098DP) Madhya Pradesh and several taxonomic references. The collected specimens were kept in the Zoological Herbarium department of biological sciences Rani Durgawati University Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh of the (HDBJ#2098DP) Department for future reference.

**Results**

During this study, a total of 774 individual of insects from 13 Orders were collected. They are from the Order of Blattodea, Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Isoptera, Lepidoptera, Mantodea, Odonata, Orthoptera, Phasmatodea and Thysanoptera [Table 1 and Figure 2-(number 1 to 54)]. From 83 collected Families, 54 were recognized until genus from 30 subfamilies, where 20 were identified until species within the four days of expedition (Table 2). Of these, only 17 Families were recorded at all zone sites. They are Blaberidae, Blattelidae and Blattidae (Blattodea); Chrysomelidae, Coccinellidae, Elateridae,

Scarabaeidae and Tenebrionidae (Coleoptera); Micropezidae (Diptera); Cicadellidae and Issidae (Hemiptera); Braconidae, Formicidae and Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera) and Acrididae, Gryllidae and Tettigonidae (Orthoptera). Among the species most of the individuals were adult and few females was observed the family

The results show that Coleoptera (42.63%) were the most dominant insects in the Forest Reserve, followed by Hymenoptera (17.96%), Diptera (10.08%) and Orthoptera (10.85%). The rarest insect Order were Dermaptera, Isoptera, Mantodea, Odonata and Phasmatodea that is, lower than 1% (Table 1). However, two of the 13 identified Orders were spatially rarest Orders. They are Mantodea and Phasmatodea, with collection of only one specimen (0.13%) throughout this study (Table 1). Of the collected insects, the Order Diptera has the highest diversity in the Forest Reserve ( $H' = 2.67$ ), although Coleoptera has the most collected number of individuals with  $H' = 1.76$ . This is because chrysomelid species were commonly collected in Mandla and Dindori zones. Furthermore, majority of the timber trees were in Rewa zone where the greatest number of coccinellid occurred. Five out of 13 Orders have zero diversity index. They are Dermaptera, Isoptera, Mantodea, Phasmatodea and Thysanoptera, with only one family collected during the expedition. Besides that, 44 individuals of thrips from 7 genera and from one family under the Order of Thysanoptera have been collected.

One of the sampling areas that is, the Mandla zone has the highest abundance of insect species with  $H = 8.51$  and 45 Families were being identified. In contrast, the Dindori zone has the lowest value of Margalef index ( $H = 7.35$ ), although most insects have been collected in this zone (Table 3). This could be due to the highest number of Coleoptera being collected in this zone. The richness estimate is based on the number of Families and individual insects at the zone sites.

**Table 1:** Diversity index and Evenness index of the insect Madhya Pradesh at the Forest Community Reserve.

Order	Total of the insects	Total of the Family	Percentage (%)	Diversity index (H')	Evenness index (E)
Blattodea	30	3	3.88	1.08	0.36
Coleoptera	330	17	42.63	1.76	0.10
Dermaptera	2	1	0.26	0.00	0.00
Diptera	78	20	10.08	2.67	0.13
Hemiptera	44	13	5.68	2.11	0.17
Hymenoptera	139	11	17.96	1.60	0.16
Isoptera	2	1	0.26	0.00	0.00
Lepidoptera	15	6	1.94	1.58	0.28
Mantodea	1	1	0.13	0.00	0.00
Odonata	4	3	0.52	1.04	0.35
Orthoptera	84	5	10.85	1.37	0.33
Phasmatodea	1	1	0.13	0.00	0.00
Thysanoptera	44	1	5.68	0.00	0.00
Total	774	83	100	-	-

CM: Collected manually; PT: Pitfall; MT: Malaise trap; LT: Light trap.

Sixty three percent (63%) of insect were sampled manually where 489 specimens were caught. This is followed by the light trap (21%), which yielded 158 specimens, malaise trap

(12%) and pitfall trap (4%) ( Table 3). The Order which was highly collected using pitfall trap is Hymenoptera with 17 specimens; light trap, Orthoptera with 47 specimens; collected manually, Coleoptera with 280 specimens and Malaise trap, Hymenoptera with 58 specimens.

Maximum number of insects was recorded during low humidity and high light intensity. For example, the total number of insects during these condition at Rewa zone was

significantly correlated with minimum humidity ( $R = 0.6$ ) (Graph 1) and maximum light intensity ( $R = 0.5$ ) (Graph 2).

**Table 2:** List of insect species collected from the Madhya Pradesh Forest Community Reserve.

Insects taxon	Zone sites		No. of individual			Collection method/s	
	Family	Species	Mandla	Dindori	Rewa	Jabalpur	
BLATTODEA	Blaberidae	2	3	3	1	9	CM, LT
	Blattellidae	6	2	2	3	13	CM, LT
	Blattidae	2	4	1	1	8	CM, LT, PT
COLEOPTERA	Anthribidae	0	1	2	0	3	CM, LT
Brentidae							
Cyladinae							
<i>Cylas formicarius</i>	0	0	1	0	1	1	LT
Unidentified sp.	1	0	0	0	0	1	CM
Carabidae							
Cicindelinae							
<i>Neocollyris</i> sp.	1	0	1	0	2	2	CM
Unidentified sp.	6	0	0	1	7	7	CM, LT
Cerambycidae	1	0	2	0	3	3	CM, LT
Chyromelidae	21	13	8	31	73	73	CM, LT, MT
Coccinellidae							
Chilocorinae							
<i>Chilocorus</i> sp.	0	1	0	2	3	3	CM
Epilachninae							
<i>Epilachna</i> sp.	1	0	1	0	2	2	CM
Unidentified sp.	8	5	139	0	152	152	CM, LT
Curculionidae	0	3	2	7	12	12	CM, LT, PT,
MT							
Elateridae	4	3	2	2	11	11	CM
Endomycidae	4	2	0	0	6	6	CM, LT
Erotylidae	6	0	1	1	8	8	CM, LT
Lycidae	1	0	0	0	1	1	CM
Mordellidae	0	1	0	0	1	1	MT
Nitidulidae	0	0	1	1	2	2	PT, MT
Rhipiceridae	0	1	0	0	1	1	CM
Scarabaeidae							

**Discussion**

Results of this study show that this forest reserve has high diversity and abundance of insect fauna. The majority of insects found in this forest reserve were Coleoptera. This is because the Families of Chrysomelidae and Coccinellidae occurred most of the time in this study (Table 2). We observed that the coccinellid were the most common and the greatest number of insect species at the bark of timber trees. This could be the reason why the number of insects in the Mandla zone was higher compared to the other zones.

Furthermore, the light intensity was higher at Rewa zone during the time of sampling. In contrast, the Dindori zone was lower because the number of insects caught by using the light trap was lower than in the other zone sites. Only three of the collected Orders were nocturnal during the light trap collection within the four days of expedition. They were Orthoptera, Coleoptera and Hymenoptera. Most of the insects in this study were collected manually or visual search method (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Sampling methods and species richness of the insects by the Madhya Pradesh zone sites

Zone	Trap		No. of insects	No. of Order	No. of Family		MI (H)	
CM			MT		LT		PT	
Mandla	117	0	41	6	164	8	42	8.04
Dindori	84	39	38	15	176	9	45	8.51
Rewa	203	29	68	2	302	10	43	7.35
Jabalpur	85	27	11	9	132	9	40	7.99
Total	489	95	158	32	774	-	-	-
Percentage			63	12	21		4	

Where; Margalef index

Similar phenomenon has been reported in other studies such as Ellison et al. (2007)<sup>[5]</sup> who surveyed on the ant collecting in the temperate forest in Madhya Pradesh. They found 94% of the ant species were collected by using a combination of litter sampling and hands collections. They also stated that these collection methods are sufficient to determine the species richness. Thus, the results of this study are congruent with Ellison et al. (2007)<sup>[5]</sup>. However, King and Porter (2005)<sup>[7]</sup> in Florida showed that the hand collection was the least efficient method for estimating an index of combined abundance and richness, whereas baiting was the most efficient sampling method. In contrast, the pitfall trap was the least successful collecting method in this study because it is usually for the crawling insects on the ground.

The forest reserve has diverse vegetation and habitats. The vegetation's diversity and richness indirectly affect insect species diversity and abundance. The structure of vegetation between the different zones could be affecting the existing of insect diversity (Abdullah and Sina, 2009)<sup>[1]</sup>. This study also showed that insect species diversity and abundance are significantly different among zone sites. We recorded 42 Families in Mandla zone, 45 Families in Dindori zone, 43 Families in Rewa zone and 40 Families in Jabalpur zone. The vegetation structure at Mandla zone and Jabalpur mostly consist of shrubs, while Kemit consists of higher plants and Rewa zone mostly from timber trees or dipterocarp Family.

Extremely low and high temperature, rainfall and vegetation cover have been reported to influence the population density of insects (Nummelin 1996; Wardle and Barker 1997)<sup>[9, 13]</sup>. The forest reserve has diverse topography, vegetative features and climate with splendid natural settings which directly affect the diversity and occurrence of insect species. These needs will comprise, at the very least, food and suitable climatic conditions, and may also include shelter from disturbance and natural enemies (Uniyal and Mathur, 1998)<sup>[12]</sup>. No simple explanation emerges from such comparisons; probably design features, flexible life-cycle patterns, and feeding habits play a part. Relatively, our study supports these circumstances but need further investigation.

From this study, the Madhya Pradesh Community Forest Reserve is still considered to have a diverse and numerous insect fauna in a city area. However, the results which were being presented in this paper might be the first comprehensive list of insects in the Madhya Pradesh Community Forest Reserve. Hopefully, there will be a further research study on the insect biodiversity and taxonomy in this area, in order to get better and

comprehensive information on those aspects to be documented for future reference.

### Acknowledgements

Special thanks go to the forestry department of Madhya Pradesh. We would like to express our heartiest gratitude to the following members of the expedition for their kind help, that is, Dr Ramanujn Patel, Rita Bhandri, Uday Homkar and S R Nwange. We are also grateful to Dr Ramanuj Patel for the transportation, to all foresters for forest trail guide and identification of some plants while in the forest and to all staffs that involved in the expedition. Author are thank fully to Head and Principal Government Science College Jabalpur

### References

- 1 Abdullah F, Sina I (2009). Rove Beetles (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) of Lanjak Entimau, Sarawak, East Malaysia. *Int. J. Zool. Res.* 5(3):126-135.
- 2 Berenbaum M, *et. al.* (2006). Status of Pollinators in North America. National Academies Press, Washington, DC 307 pp.
- 3 Choate PM (1999). Introduction to the Identification of Beetles (Coleoptera). pp. 23-32. <http://entnemdept.ufl.edu/choate/beetles.pdf>.
- 4 Choate B, Drummond F (2011). Ants as biological control agents in agricultural cropping systems. *Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews* 4(2):157-180.
- 5 Ellison AM, Record S, Arguello A, Gotelli NJ (2007). Rapid inventory of the ant assemblage in temperate hardwood forest: Species composition and assessment of sampling methods. *Environ. Entomol.* 36(4):766-775.
- 6 Flowers RW (2004). The genera of Chrysomelinae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in Costa Rica. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 52(1):77-83.
- 7 King JR, Porter SD (2005). Evaluation of sampling methods and species richness estimators for ants in upland ecosystems in Florida. *Environ. Entomol.* 34 (6):1566-1578.
- 8 Madhya Pradesh Community Forest Reserve. Access on 29.6.2011.
- 9 Nummelin M (1996). The community structure of arthropods in virgin and managed sites in the Kibale Forest, Western Uganda. *Trop. Ecol.* 37:203-216.
- 10 Samways MJ (1994). *Insect Conservation Biology*. Chapman and Hall, London. 358 pp.
- 11 Triplehorn CA, Johnson NF (2005). Borror and Delong's Introduction to the Study of Insects. 7th ed. Brooks/Cole. 864 pp.
- 12 Uniyal VP, Mathur PK (1998). A Study on the Species Diversity Among Selected Insect Groups. FREEP-GHNP Research Project. Chandrabani Dehra Dun, INDIA. 54 pp.
- 13 Wardle DA, Barker GM (1997). Competition and herbivory in establishing grassland communities: Implications for plant biomass, species diversity and soil microbial activity. *Oikos* 80:470-480